



## Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact [support@jstor.org](mailto:support@jstor.org).

step forward, bringing Virginia into line with the majority of the other States; but moreover, that it provides an effective bar to the practice of fraud, and therefore should not be dispensed with lightly.

M. A. F.

---

DIVORCE—JURISDICTION OF SUITS FOR—SECTION 5105, CODE OF 1919, AS AMENDED AND RE-ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF 1922.—The General Assembly of Virginia passed the following act in 1922, affecting jurisdiction of divorce suits:<sup>1</sup>

"1. Be it enacted by the general assembly of Virginia, That section fifty-one hundred and five of the Code of Virginia, be amended and re-enacted so as to read as follows:

Section 5105. Jurisdiction of suits to affirm or annul marriages, or to obtain divorces; when such suits are maintainable.—The circuit and corporation courts, on the chancery side thereof, and every court in this State exercising a chancery jurisdiction, shall have jurisdiction of suits for annulling or affirming marriage and for divorces. No suit for annulling a marriage or for divorce shall be maintainable, unless one of the parties is domiciled in, and is and has been an actual bona fide resident of this State for at least one year preceding the commencement of the suit; nor shall any suit for affirming a marriage be maintainable, unless one of the parties be domiciled in, and is and has been an actual bona fide resident of this State at the time of bringing such suit. The suit, in either case, shall be brought in the county or corporation in which the parties last cohabited, or (at the option of the plaintiff), in the county or corporation in which the defendant resides, if a resident of this State, and if not a resident, in the county or corporation in which the plaintiff resides."

This act supplements Section 5105 of the Code of 1919 by adding the words, "and is and has been an actual bona fide resident of this State". In the absence of judicial construction of this amendment, it is difficult to state with any degree of assurance, just what interpretation it will receive at the hands of the courts. However, one result of the change seems apparently clear; namely, that while under the former law it was necessary for the plaintiff to establish only his domicile, in order to confer jurisdiction upon the court, by force of the amended statute he is now required in addition, to prove an actual bona fide residence of one year. Formerly it was necessary to prove the *animus manendi*, while now the *fact* of bona fide residence must be established.

Although it is undoubtedly true that the act as amended will constitute an additional safeguard against the procuring of undeserved divorces, it is equally true that it will impose a burden upon some meritorious petitions.

T. M. B.

---

<sup>1</sup> Acts of Assembly, 1922, p. 589.